	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/031,188	HUNZIKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jean C. Witz	1651
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>amended</u>		
(1) <u>Jean C. Witz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Jennifer Karnakis</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 June 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: all of record		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicants' representative agreed to the amendments to the claims per the Examiner's Amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.		
Part III.		,
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Autoto		
(Exampler/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)